(*i*) Has this Attendance Register been checked by any officer during the last five years, and if so, when?

(*j*) How many *archaks* are there in this temple?

(*k*) Do all of them attend daily?

(*l*) Are the Government aware that *archaks* of this temple have been working in four or six other places?

(*m*) Are Government aware that the work is being attended to by one *archak* as against the sanctioned number of *archaks*?

(*n*) Have not Government ordered the appointment of separate *archaks* for each of the *sannidhies* to avoid inconvenience to the devotees and is this order in force?

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—13. (*a*) Two hundred.

(*b*) Fifty-seven *barawardars* are working personally.

(*c*) One hundred and twenty-three.

(*d*) Number of people holding two posts 21

Do	do	three	„	 7
Do	do	four	„	 4
Do	do	five	„	 3
Do	do	six	„	 1

(*e*) Orders of Muzrai Officers issued from time to time.

(*f*) All the persons connected with the day's *puja*.

(*g*) *Parupathegar*.

(*h*) No.

(*i*) By the Amildar occasionally.

(*j*) There are two *archaks* in the Sri Narayanaswami Temple and one in the Hill temple at present.

(*k*) Yes.

(*l*) No.

(*m*) No.

(*n*) Yes. The appointment of separate *archaks* for each of the four *sannidhies* has been sanctioned. But as *archaks* qualified in *Pancharatra Agama* are rare, only three *archaks* are now looking after the duties of all four *sannidhies*.

MESSRS. M. C. LINGE GOWDA and S. C. MALLIAH (Mandya Taluk); K. V. VEERANNA (Chamarajanagar Taluk);

APPENDIX III—*contd.*

C. MARI GOWDA (Krishnarajpet Taluk); K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA (Nagamangala Taluk); KULLE GOWDA, S. DEVAYYA and SIVANANJE GOWDA (Seringapatam Taluk); M. SUBBAIYA (Seringapatam Municipality); G. MALLANNA and R. DEVAYYA (Mysore Taluk); GURUKAR NANJAPPA (Gundlupet Taluk); B. CHANNAPPA (Yedatore Taluk); H. R. T. RAJAGOPALA MUDALIAR and HANUMANTHA SETTY (Hunsur Taluk); PUTTASWAMI GOWDA (Malvalli Taluk); H. C. DASAPPA and K. DHANAKOTI SETTY (Special Interests) and T. MARIAPPA and K. IBRAHIM KHAN SAHIB (Minority Interests).—

Q.—14. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Was the *Peshkar* of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam retired in September 1932?

(*b*) (i) What is the reason for appointing M. Raghavachar, the acting *Parupathegar*, as the *Peshkar* instead of filling up the post by the appointment of a qualified person of the "Forward" community making available the consequential vacancy for recruitment under the Central Recruitment Board Rules; (ii) has this appointment been reported to the Central Recruitment Board?

(*c*) (i) Was not the order of the appointment of the said *Parupathegar* as *Peshkar* during June 1930 cancelled by the Deputy Commissioner; (ii) were not petitions received thereafter and the *Parupathegar* warned?

(*d*) What is the reason for appointing the acting *Parupathegar* as *Peshkar* when proposals had been sent by the local officers (Amildar and Sub-Division Officer) to fill up the *Peshkar's* post?

FINANCIAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—14. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) (i) It was done as a matter of economy; the same incumbent performs both the duties with an allowance of Rs. 7 in addition to his pay as *Parupathegar*.

(ii) Not reported, as there was no fresh appointment.

(*c*) (i) Yes.

(ii) No information.

(*d*) *Vide* answer to (*b*).